


Urgent Injunction Application and Court Proceedings in Denmark
Case No.: BS-47916/2026-KBH
by Buppha Wittaya-Amponpant

The UK Authorities Refused to Intervene: The UK court and authorities were explicitly informed in writing that these crimes extended to my daughter. Instead of halting the harm, they repeatedly dismissed my complaints.

Domestic Abdication and Cross-Border Escalation (2024-2025): Upon discovering this comprehensive invasion of privacy in 2024, I filed formal complaints with the United Kingdom police and various statutory oversight bodies, including The Investigatory Powers Tribunal (IPT). These entities completely abdicated their duties, systematically denied the existence of the operation and the clear evidence, refused to investigate, offered no protection, and instead maintained the deployment of hidden surveillance equipment.

Urgent Injunction Application and Court Proceedings in Denmark
Case No.: BS-47916/2026-KBH
by Buppha Wittaya-Amponpunt



Caption: On 14 April 2025 at 9:36 am, I sent an email to my daughter and my ex-husband in Denmark, warning them of the illegal, intrusive surveillance and the sexual abuse via hidden cameras in her accommodation as well.

On the exact same day, **14 April 2025 at 9:53 am**, immediately after I had emailed my daughter and ex-husband, I received an email from the UK police with an outcome of my complaint, which was not upheld. Please see screenshots below:



PC/26/25

1 message

<Chloe.Bissett@met.police.uk>
To: bupphawitt@gmail.com

Mon, 14 Apr 2025 at 09:53

Good Morning,

Please see attached letter in relation to your complaint PC/26/25.

Kindest Regards,

Chloe

[Quoted text hidden]
[Quoted text hidden]

 **CRU13R - Inside Schedule 3 OTBI Letter Report-2319.pdf**
543 KB

On the exact same day, 14 April 2025, after emailing my daughter and ex-husband, minutes later, at 9:53 am, I received an email from the police regarding the outcome of my complaint, which was not upheld. Please see below:

This is how they use illegal hacking to gain insight into my legal strategy and whom I have contacted, such as to warn my daughter, and immediately retaliate by refusing to investigate and rejecting my complaint. This is therefore a systemic cover-up.



Email: Feedback@met.police.uk

Miss Buppha Wittaya-Amponpunt
311-B, 11 The Pavement
The Cube Ealing
Popes Lane
London
W5 4NG

Your reference: PC/00026/25
Our Reference: PC/00026/25

Date: 02/04/2025

Dear Miss Wittaya-Amponpunt,

How we have considered your complaint

I am writing in relation to the complaint you made on 2nd January 2025 in relation to the Police harassing you and conducting surveillance upon you.

The report attached with this letter outlines the actions I have taken to deal with your concerns and explains how I have considered each aspect of your complaint. I hope the report attached addresses your concerns but if you remain unsatisfied you may apply to MOPAC for a review; full details of how to request a review and the timescales involved are contained within the report. Further information about the handling of police complaints can be found in the guidance document attached - 'Our Guide To Your Complaint'.

We are committed to developing a learning culture and your complaint has been fully documented and catalogued with the Directorate of Professional Standards as part of the Metropolitan Police's organisational learning.

A survey is being run by the Met Police Complaints Resolution Unit. You are invited to participate in this survey because you have made a complaint, or did so on another person's behalf, in relation to the level of service we provided or the conduct of our officers. We want to hear your views on how your complaint was handled. This will help us improve what we do. For this reason we value and will act on your feedback. The survey should take you no longer than five minutes to complete.

Survey link: <https://www.londonvoice.org.uk/cru>

In addition to learning from individual cases, we use complaint information from our police complaints system to monitor performance and to identify opportunities for learning and improvement.

Thank you again for taking the time to raise your concerns with us.

Yours sincerely,

PC Chloe Bissett
DPS West Area Professional Standards.

For more information on the Metropolitan Police Service visit our website at www.met.police.uk

GDPR - Any personal data submitted in the course of making a complaint will be processed and used to provide an outcome to that complaint. Any right to Review will mean that the MPS will provide that data to the Relevant Review Body for the purpose of conducting that review.



Directorate of Professional Standards

Complaint Report

Protective Marking	Official
Disclosure under FOIA 2000	No
Complaint Reference	PC/26/25
Person dealing with complaint	PC Chloe Bissett
Organisation / Department / Strand	DPS WA
Appropriate Authority	Inspector Debbie Field
How we have recorded and dealt with your complaint	Recorded under Schedule 3 Police Reform Act 2002 - Handled proportionately otherwise than by investigation
Date Created	2nd April 2025

Summary of Incident

In your initial complaint to Police you explain that you have felt that the Police have been intercepting your electronic devices illegally. You believe that your private records have been jeopardised and the Police have shared your personal information. I have listed below a list of concerns that you have raised to me regarding the mistreatment from the Police.

Your concerns

The concerns listed below set out the nature of your complaint, which we agreed via email on the 20th January 2025. You expressed further concerns that you felt needed to be addressed I have included these below, however I feel that the two points below cover the further concerns you have raised.

- I believe the police are conducting surveillance on me and have been installing cameras into places where I reside to monitor me.
- I believe the police have influenced members of the public along with professional organisations to harass me by using various means.

The following are some of the misconducts that I think the police have done both to me and to my daughter:

- Intercepting computer and calls illegally;
- Phone tracking me illegally;
- Snooping into our private records and even illegally sharing our personal and sensitive information to lots of people;
- Illegally surveilling me and have been unlawfully installing cameras into places where I reside and have resided to monitor me and to engage in video-voyeurism of me and even livestream the footage to lots of people and organisations;
-
- Taking images of me and filming me without my knowledge or consent inside my home or a private location with the hidden cameras and even seem to have unlawfully distributed my intimate images and the sex tapes taken by the hidden cameras over the years, maybe since 2013, to lots of people and on porn sites, and I think the police are doing the same to my daughter as well, which is shocking;
- Illegally conducting a human experiment on me and maybe her as well;
- Using a CHIS with everyone I know, especially with my daughter, to gain as much information on me and her as possible to misuse it by unlawfully disclosing it to lots of people
- Illegally sharing my intimate images and my email communications of sexual nature;
- Influencing members of the public along with professional organisations and also lawyers to harass me by using various means;
- Running a smear campaign against me to incite hatred;
- Influencing lawyers not to help me;
- Monitoring all my incoming and outgoing posts illegally, including opening and stealing my posts, as if to prevent me from getting help;
- Scamming and defrauding me;
- Making public a topic about my private life as well as my daughter's life;
- Using police cars, police vans and helicopters to harass and intimidate me;
- Employing imitation firearms to intimidate me as well, especially when I go see a lawyer;
- And many more

As per the IOPC guidelines you were asked the following questions in relation to discrimination.

1. What was it that made you believe the person serving with the police's words or actions were discriminatory? As I said in my previous email that I think the police try to prevent me from seeking help by influencing all lawyers I have contacted not to help me when I have contacted about 100 solicitors or more, and no one wanted to help me. Some of them even seem to help the police stalk and harass me as well. Something just does not seem right. This also appears to have been going on for a long time. Probably since 2013 or maybe even before that. Thus, this indicates perverting the course of justice and severe discrimination due to my protected characteristics.

2. Do you feel that the person serving with the police made assumptions about you because of your protected characteristic? What were these assumptions? How did they impact on the actions of the police? When the police seem to racial profile me and thereby seem to illegally conduct human experimentation on me to study my behavioural tendencies, as I said in my complaint. Hence, they allegedly continue to monitor me via the hidden cameras unlawfully installed in all the places I live and have lived to closely observe my daily habits, routines and more and may even capture my images and film me for their research as well - mainly to train the police. This has caused me severe distress and humiliation, as if they don't regard me as a human being. The secret research may have been conducting on me for years as well.

3. Did you note any differences in the way you were treated compared with others? As I said above. It's like the police see me as an object. Others seem to be able to do what they want. But when it comes to me, I can't do what I want. They will just seem to get people to severely harass me, which is still ongoing and seems to get worse day by day, to make me feel intimidated and discriminated against. They also seem to incite hatred against me, turning everyone against me. Even my own family. I have no one left in my life anymore. I

can't work or have an education or even just to go out. Because their people will just stalk, harass and intimidate me everywhere I go, making my life very difficult. It's not just here. Even when I travelled to Denmark last summer, the police and the people there also seemed to harass and intimidate me on their behalf.

4. Did you note any differences in the way that this person serving with the police behaved compared with other persons serving with the police (either on this or other occasions)? No, they all seem to be discriminating against me as part of a retaliation and victimisation campaign against me.

5. Was there anything about the person serving with the police's language that added to your concern? Yes, when PC Moody blatantly told me over the phone last June that he thinks I'm mentally ill when I tried to report the hate crime. Because I experienced relentless online harassment and received lots of implied death threats, both to me and to my daughter. Now, when I look back, the police may be behind all this. Hence, they did not want me to report the hate crime and even attempted to victim blame me instead (Gaslighting attempt).

6. Did anyone else witness the incident and were any comments or reactions expressed to you at the time or since? I'm not sure.

7. What was the impact on you? How did it make you feel? I feel humiliated, degraded, distressed, upset, angry, frustrated, anxious and stressed, including losing enjoyment of life, which also begins to impact my health and wellbeing. Consequently, this whole ordeal seemingly stretching for more than a decade has finally taken a toll on me, which I may never recover from. Because the damages are now irreparable due to the severity of the abuses since they have been going on for years. I can't go anywhere without being harassed, stalked and intimidated.

The social ostracism seems to have reached national and international levels. Because they seem to be able to get anyone to harass me. I cannot go to school or work. Because they seem to get people to make me feel unwelcome right away by getting them to closely monitor me and persistently harass me everywhere I go. I have now lost everything, my future prospects, my health, wellbeing and more, as if I no longer exist. I can't even get help from medical professionals when they all seem to have been told not to take me seriously and even attempt to gaslight me instead.

I have to live with severe injury to feelings, e.g., mental suffering, indignity, humiliation, embarrassment, distress, frustration, anger and PTSD without being able to get any help and have to tolerate daily harassment and intimidation, which seems to escalate day by day. Once more, this has caused me psychiatric and psychological damages, which may be irreversible. All of this is a result of the police's alleged, deliberate acts to cause injury to my feelings by the exercise of their power or knowingly acting in excess of that power.

8. What would you see as a good outcome from the complaints process?

- An official apology, and that the same things will not happen again in the future - not to me or anyone.
- Publicly clear my name.
- That the police stop doing all the illegal things they seem to be doing to me and my daughter, especially they must remove the hidden cameras immediately - both from where I live now and from my daughter's flat - since it's seriously violating our human rights articles 8 and 3.
- Stop preventing my access to lawyers and organisations from getting help.
- Stop hijacking/opening my letters.
- Stop intercepting my internet use and communications and stop phone tracking me as well.
- Stop illegally sharing our personal and sensitive data, sex tapes, intimate images, email communications of sexual nature and many more.
- Destroy all my and my daughter's intimate images and the sex tapes taken by the hidden cameras as well as disclosing who else they have shared this with and where else they have posted online, like on darkwebs and porn sites.

- Stop conducting illegal human experimentation on me and her as well, since I think the police are secretly doing the same thing to her.
- Stop inciting hatred against me.
- Stop running a harassment and victimisation campaign against me.
- Stop stalking/cyberstalking me and her.
- Stop doing the same to my daughter as well as removing the CHIS from her and from all my family members immediately. It's wrong and illegal to ruin her life by making her think that it's a real relationship. He must also stop spreading her personal and sensitive information. Because I think he's doing this to her as well.

I have been unable to identify a particular Officer who has discriminated you. Without further evidence or clarity around a particular date in which you were made to feel this way, it is unreasonable to review every interaction you have had with the Police. You state that the Police have influenced lawyers, however without further information, I am unable to identify any occasion where this has occurred. I am of the opinion that the evidence you have provided does not give rise to a concern that you were treated unfavourably.

I am very sorry to hear that your mental health has been impacted by the incidents you were reporting. If at any point you wish to speak with someone regarding how you are feeling, please consider reaching out to the below contacts:

The Samaritans:

Telephone: 116 123

Email address: jo@samaritans.org

Website: <https://www.samaritans.org/>

Mind UK:

Telephone: 0300 123 3393

Email address: info@mind.org.uk Website: <https://www.mind.org.uk/>

Actions taken to address your complaint

I have taken the following steps to address your concerns, which I consider to be both reasonable and proportionate in the circumstances, to address the matters described above. Where I have not pursued a particular line of enquiry, this is because I believe this would not be reasonable or proportionate, taking into consideration all of the circumstances.

To address all aspects of your complaint I have taken the following actions:

Initial complaint submission

Police intelligence system (IIP)

A reflection on the service we provided:

Concern number	1
Nature of complaint	I believe the police are conducting surveillance on me and have been installing cameras into places where I reside to monitor me.
Officer(s) Members of Staff Identified	Unidentified
Our consideration (Our Determination)	I have considered all of the material gathered but have not been able to determine whether the service provided was acceptable.

Rationale	The Metropolitan Police Service will neither confirm nor deny, that any surveillance has been, or is being conducted in relation to you as an individual, or anyone else who may be considered to be a party to this matter. This may include other individuals that you have mentioned within your communication, or assertions that surveillance activity may relate to a third party at this time unknown to you. As your complaint relates to alleged surveillance activity, that you have stated you believe to be conducted by the MPS, the police complaints process is not the appropriate mechanism to raise such a complaint as there is an alternative statutory process available to you which is outlined below. Should you wish to raise a complaint about surveillance, where you consider that you are personally impacted, the correct process will be to contact the Investigatory Powers Tribunal at the below link. https://www.ipt-uk.com/content.asp?id=27 The page I have directed you to explains how to make such a complaint and what you can expect. They also provide guidance on the process and completing the form, which can be seen at the below link. https://www.ipt-uk.com/docs/Information%20Leaflet%20T1.pdf For further information, please see the below link to the Regulation of Investigatory Powers Act 2000 (RIPA) and in particular, I would direct your attention to sections 65 to 70 which covers the activities of the Tribunal. No further action will be taken in relation to this matter, as you have been directed to the appropriate process for raising this issue.
Action Taken	Explanation provided
Action Taken Recording Category	No Further Action
Learning	N/A
Concern number	2
Nature of complaint	I believe the police have influenced members of the public along with professional organisations to harass me by using various means.
Officer(s) Members of Staff Identified	Unidentified
Our consideration (Our Determination)	I have considered all of the material gathered but have not been able to determine whether the service provided was acceptable.
Rationale	I reviewed all Policing databases and can see no evidence that Metropolitan Police Officers have influenced member of the public and Professional Organisation to harass you by various means. Without further clarity and evidence of this, I am unable to identify that these events you have described have taken place. This matter is considered to be in part repetitious of previous complaints and fanciful in that no reasonable person could lend any credence to it.
Action Taken	No further action

Action Taken	No Further Action
Recording Category	
Learning	N/A

Your right to a review

If you are unhappy with the way your complaint was handled, or with the final outcome, you can apply for a review. Reviews are dealt with by either the Independent Officer for Police Conduct (**IOPC**) or the Mayor's Office for Policing and Crime (**MOPAC**) and we have listed the review body for your complaint below.

Relevant Review Body	The Mayor's Office For Policing And Crime (MOPAC)
Ways to make a review request	- Email - ComplaintReviews@mopac.london.gov.uk - Online Form – Via MOPAC's website using the following link: https://www.london.gov.uk/what-we-do/mayors-office-policing-and-crime-mopac/about-mayors-office-policing-and-crime-mopac/mopac-complaints/application-complaint-outcome-review - By post - Police Complaint Reviews Team, The Mayor's Office For Policing And Crime (MOPAC), 169 Union Street, London, SE1 0LL
Review to be received by	12/05/2025

Thank you for raising your concerns

We understand that the outcome of your complaint may not be to your satisfaction, but we would like to thank you for taking the time to raise your concerns.

GDPR - Any personal data submitted in the course of making a complaint will be processed and used to provide an outcome to that complaint. Any right to Review will mean that the MPS will provide that data to the Relevant Review Body for the purpose of conducting that review.

Investigatory Powers Tribunal

P.O.Box 33220

London

SW1H 9ZQ

NOTICE OF DECISION

Issued pursuant to Section 67 of the Regulation of Investigatory Powers Act 2000 ("RIPA")
and Rule 15 (5) of the Investigatory Powers Tribunal Rules 2018

Buppha Wittaya-Amponpunt v Various

IPT-25-82-C

1. The Tribunal has considered the complaint submitted by Miss Wittaya-Amponpunt ('the Complainant').
2. The Tribunal has determined that the complaint falls within section 67(4) of RIPA. The Tribunal is therefore under no duty to hear, consider or determine it.
3. In accordance with Rule 15(5) of the Investigatory Powers Tribunal Rules 2018 (the Rules) the Tribunal is required to inform the Complainant of any reasoning for this decision that it considers appropriate.
4. The Complainant has submitted a Complaint (Form T2) to the Tribunal together with 12 further pages of handwritten supporting information. She has named 11 Respondents including The Intelligence Services, UK Police Forces, the Metropolitan Police and British Transport Police, National Crime Agency and Armed Forces. In brief summary, she complains that she is the subject of numerous types of unlawful conduct such as that she is: i) under constant unlawful surveillance by the Respondents including by the use of hidden cameras around her surroundings; and ii) the subject of actions by a Covert Human Intelligence Source (CHIS). She also complains that the Respondents are constantly intercepting her computer and mobile devices.
5. The reason as to why the Complainant might be the subject of this treatment is unclear but it is clear that she is sincere in her beliefs and is distressed by them.
6. The Tribunal has carefully considered the allegations submitted by the Complainant. Without doubting the sincerity of the Complainant's beliefs, the Tribunal is satisfied there

are no grounds to consider that there is any merit in the allegations to the extent they fall within the Tribunal's jurisdiction.

7. There is no objective or independent evidence in support of the allegations against the Respondents. The Complainant has offered no realistic or rational reasons to explain why any Respondent falling within its jurisdiction (UK government department, law enforcement agency, intelligence agency or other public authority) would use covert or intrusive or investigatory powers against her whether lawfully or unlawfully. There is no material presented which would give rise to any reasonable inference that any Respondent has used covert or investigatory powers in relation to the Complainant (such as surveillance, use of a CHIS or interception of communications which would require authorisation pursuant to RIPA or the Investigatory Powers Act 2016) or otherwise acted unlawfully towards her.
8. The Tribunal is satisfied that there has been no unlawful conduct by any Respondent which falls within its jurisdiction under section 65 of RIPA.
9. The Tribunal is therefore of the view that the complaint is unsustainable and without merit, that is, it holds no realistic prospect of success.
10. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed pursuant to s. 67(4) of RIPA.

SIGNED



Tribunal Secretary
On behalf of the Tribunal

DATED: 14 April 2025

Complainant: 311-B, 11 The Pavement
The Cube Ealing
Popes Lane
London
W5 4NG

Email: bupphawitt@gmail.com

Investigatory Powers Tribunal

P.O.Box 33220
London
SW1H 9ZQ



NOTICE OF DECISION

Pursuant to Section 67 of the Regulation of Investigatory Powers Act 2000 (as amended) (RIPA) and Rule 15 (5) of the Investigatory Powers Tribunal Rules 2018 (the Rules)

Buppha Wittaya-Amponpunt - v – Various

IPT/25/125/H

1. The Tribunal has considered the claim submitted by Ms Buppha WITTAYA-AMPONPUNT ('the Complainant').
2. The Tribunal has determined that the claim falls within section 67(4) of RIPA. The Tribunal is therefore under no duty to hear, consider or determine the application.
3. In accordance with Rule 15(5) of the Investigatory Powers Tribunal Rules 2018 (the Rules) the Tribunal is required to inform the Complainant of any reasoning for this decision that it considers appropriate.
4. The Complainant has submitted a Claim (Form T1) in which she cites various Respondents that include the Intelligence Services, UK Police Forces, NCA, the Armed forces, Ambulance and Fire Services, All Local Authorities and various government departments including the Department for Environment, Department for Work and Pensions and Department for Culture, Media and Sport (hereafter the Respondents). The T1 Form was supplemented by a fuller statement by the Complainant extending to 130 pages (many of which were blank). The Tribunal has considered all the material submitted to it by the Complainant.
5. The matters raised by the complainant can be summarised. She believes that she is (and has been for several years) the subject of continuous surveillance in public and private places that include interception of her personal communications and the use of covert human intelligence sources (CHIS). She believes hidden cameras have been installed by the authorities to watch and record her, especially in intimate settings and

behaviour, which resulted in the production of what she describes as “sex tapes” shared with others to her detriment.

6. The Tribunal has carefully considered the allegations submitted by the Complainant. Without doubting the sincerity of the Complainant’s beliefs, the Tribunal is satisfied there are no grounds to consider that there is any merit in the allegations.
7. There is no objective or independent evidence in support of the allegations against the Respondents. The Complainant has offered no realistic or rational reasons to explain why any Respondent falling within its jurisdiction would use covert or intrusive or investigatory powers whether lawfully or unlawfully. There is no material presented which would give rise to any reasonable inference that any Respondent has used covert or investigatory powers in relation to the Complainant or otherwise acted unlawfully.
8. The Tribunal is satisfied that there has been no unlawful conduct by any Respondent which falls within its jurisdiction under section 65 of RIPA.
9. The Tribunal is therefore of the view that the proceedings are unsustainable and without merit, that is, they hold no realistic prospect of success. Accordingly, proceedings are dismissed pursuant to s. 67(4) of RIPA.
10. RIPA does not provide for any right of appeal from this decision, which is therefore final, and the Tribunal is not able to enter into any further correspondence.

SIGNED



Tribunal Secretary
On behalf of the tribunal

DATED: 14/05/2025

Complainant: Jernbane Alle25B, 1TV
2720 Vanlose, Copenhagen, Denmark
Email: bupphawitt@gmail.com

17 December 2025: In response to this institutional failure, I initiated formal human rights proceedings in the **High Court of Justice of England and Wales** against state-actor defendants under **Case No: KB-2025-004650**.



Claim Form

You may be able to issue your claim online which may save time and money. Go to www.moneyclaims.service.gov.uk/make-claim to find out more.

Claimant(s) name(s) and address(es) including postcode

BUPPHA WITTAYA-AMPONPUNT
SUITE 288, 372 Old Street
London, EC1V 9LT

Defendant(s) name and address(es) including postcode

Commissioner of Police of the Metropolis
Directorate of Legal Services
Metropolitan Police Service
10 Lamb Conduit Street
London, WC1N 3NR

Brief details of claim

Please see a separate sheet
of paper.

In the	KING'S BENCH
Fee Account no.	
Help with Fees - Ref no. (if applicable)	H W F - -
For court use only	
Claim no.	
Issue date	17/06/2025



RECEIVED BY
THE COURT
15/08/2025
(Please see more
details, names
and addresses in
a separate paper.)

Value £500,000,000

Defendant's
name and
address
for service
including
postcode

--

	£
Amount claimed	500,000,000
Court fee	
Legal representative's costs	
Total amount	

List of Defendants

1. Commissioner of Police of the Metropolis
Directorate of Legal Services
Metropolitan Police Service
10 Lambs Conduit Street
London
WC1N 3NR

2. The Legal Services Department

University of West London

Office of The Vice Chancellor

St. Mary's Road, Ealing, London W5 5RF

3. Mark Zuckerberg and Facebook (Meta)

Meta Platforms Ireland Limited

Merrion Road

Dublin 4, D04 X2K5

Ireland

4. Elon Musk and X CORP.

865 FM 1209

Building 2

Bastrop

TX 78602

USA

5. Persons Unknown: Permission to serve via emails, their places of work, social media, etc.